

Chapter 2

Bringing Systems Into Being



Defining the Engineered System

- Have a *functional purpose* in response to an identified *need*
- Have the ability to achieve some stated *operational objective*
- Are brought into being and operate over a life cycle, beginning with a need and ending with phase-out and disposal
- Are composed of a *combination of resources*
- Are composed of *subsystems* and related *components* that *interact* with each other to produce the system response or behavior
- Are part of a *hierarchy* and are influenced from external factors from larger systems of which they are a part
- Are *embedded* into the natural world and *interact* with it in desirable as well as undesirable ways



Enhancing Product Competitiveness by Systems Engineering

- Improving methods for defining product and system requirements as they relate to true customer needs
- Addressing the total system with all its elements from a life-cycle perspective, and from the product to its elements of support
- Considering the overall system hierarchy and interactions between various levels
- Organizing and integrating the necessary engineering and related disciplines in a timely concurrent manner
- Establishing a disciplined approach with appropriate review, evaluation, and feedback provisions



The Product Life Cycle



Copyright @ 2011 Pearson Education, Inc. publishing as Prentice Hall



The Product AND System Life Cycle



Copyright © 2011 Pearson Education, Inc. publishing as Prentice Hall



Diving INTO The System Life Cycle (View 1)



Copyright © 2011 Pearson Education, Inc. publishing as Prentice Hall



Diving INTO The System Life Cycle (View 2)



Copyright © 2011 Pearson Education, Inc. publishing as Prentice Hall



System Process Models



- It is observed that the preference expressed by individuals and groups for one of the system models is subjective.
- A study of the literature and current practice is needed to identify which model fits a specific situation best. Refer to Appendix G.



- The waterfall model, introduced by Royce in 1970, initially was used for software development. This model usually consists of five to seven series of steps or phases for systems engineering or software development. Boehm expanded this into an eight-step series of activities in 1981.
- A similar model splits the hardware and software into two distinct efforts. Ideally, each phase is carried out to completion in sequence until the product is delivered. However, this rarely is the case. When deficiencies are found, phases must be repeated until the product is correct.



System Process Models





- The spiral process model of the development life cycle (developed by Boehm in 1986 using Hall's work in systems engineering from 1969) is intended to introduce a risk-driven approach for the development of products or systems.
- This model is an adaptation of the waterfall model, which does not mandate the use of prototypes. The spiral model incorporates features from other models, such as feedback, etc.
- Application of the spiral model is iterative and proceeds through the several phases each time a different type of prototype is developed. It allows for an evaluation of risk before proceeding to a subsequent phase.
- Forsberg and Mooz describe what they call "the technical aspect of the project cycle" by the "Vee" process model. This model starts with user needs on the upper left and ends with a user-validated system on the upper right.
- On the left side, decomposition and definition activities resolve the system architecture, creating details of the design. Integration and verification flows upward to the right as successively higher levels of subsystems are verified, culminating at the system level.
- Verification and validation progress from the component level to the validation of the operational system. At each level of testing, the originating specifications and requirements documents are consulted to ensure that component/subsystems/system meet the specifications.



Waterfall Process Models





Spiral Process Models





"Vee" Process Models





Identifying System Design Considerations





Decomposing System Design Requirements Definition of Need System Level **Requirements Analysis** (Reference: Figure 2.4, Block 0.1) · Feasibility analysis · Operational requirements · Maintenance and support concept · Measures of effectiveness (technical performance measures) **Design Evaluation** • Identification of design-dependent parameters (DDPs) · Analysis and trade-off studies Feedback · Synthesis and evaluation of alternatives Subsystem Level ----Requirements Analysis (Reference: Figure 2.4, Blocks 1.1 and 1.2) · Functional analysis and allocation · Measures of effectiveness (technical performance measures) Design Evaluation · Decomposition of DDPs · Analysis and trade-off studies · Synthesis and evaluation Copyright © 2011 Pearson Education, Inc. publishing as Prentice Hall



Hierarchy of Design Considerations



Copyright © 2011 Pearson Education, Inc. publishing as Prentice Hall



Synthesis, Analysis, and Evaluation Relationships





System Engineering Morphology for Product Realization





Application Areas for Systems Engineering





Systems Engineering Impact on Life-Cycle



